Novel hemorrhage control technologies and their potential applicability in trauma medicine
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ABSTRACT
Mortality due to hemorrhage is potentially preventable but remains a prevalent problem in trauma care. Despite advances in prehospital hemorrhage control, exsanguination remains the leading and second-leading cause of mortality in military and civilian trauma, respectively. Novel hemorrhage control technologies for military and civilian prehospital use include the iTClamp (iTraumaCare, Edmonton, Canada), a mechanical clamp used to close wounds; XStat (RevMedx, Wilsonville, OR), a syringe applicator that injects expandable cellulose sponges into a wound for internal compression and clotting acceleration; ResQFoam (Arsenal Medical, Watertown, MA), liquids injected into the abdominal cavity that mix and transform into an expandable solid to compress internal organ wounds; and TraumaGel (Cresilon, Brooklyn, NY), a biocompatible gel that promotes clotting and polymerizes to form a mesh to seal the wound. These 4 technologies all show promise in effective hemorrhage control, and have been designed for quick and easy use in the setting of prehospital trauma care. However, these products are still in the early stages of development with limited research data for human use. Therefore, efficiency of use, effectiveness in hemostasis, and safety should be examined for each technology to determine whether any of them warrant widespread adoption for hemorrhage control.

INTRODUCTION
Uncontrolled hemorrhage is the leading cause of military trauma deaths.1 Among civilian trauma patients, it is the second-leading cause of death2 and the leading cause of potentially preventable mortality.3 Great effort has been invested in inventing and improving hemorrhage control techniques and technologies, with new products striving towards efficient use, effective control of bleeding, and a low incidence of complications.1 In prehospital trauma care, novel technologies are often first evaluated in the military setting after evidence of effectiveness in animal studies, and if the technology proves successful, implementation into standard civilian trauma care may result. Well-established methods of trauma hemorrhage control include gauzes such as QuikClot Combat Gauze (Z-Medica, Lakewood, CT), Celox Gauze (Medtrade Products Ltd., Crewe, UK), and ChitoGauze (HemCon Medical Technologies Inc., Portland, OR), which contain substances in their mesh fibres to accelerate the clotting process. However, these products require direct manual pressure for at least 3 minutes, take time to stop the bleeding, and are not always effective.4 Therefore, technological improvements are necessary to increase survival from exsanguinating traumatic wounds.

These gauze products were developed for use in the U.S. military through rigorous examinations of hemorrhage control effectiveness by the Committee on Tactical Combat Casualty Care.5 Specifically, first-generation hemostatic agents were initially approved for military use in 2003, and included QuikClot zeolite granules, which were shown to promote clotting but caused second-degree burns through its exothermic reaction. Therefore, second-generation products were subsequently developed from 2003 to 2008, such as Celox granules made of chitosan and QuikClot Combat Gauze made of kaolin, which were substances that proved to be more effective in accelerating clotting and safer than the first-generation agents. All hemorrhage control technologies developed after 2008 are considered third-generation products, and many are currently being studied to determine potential advantages over existing hemostasis techniques. The constant review of current products for improved efficacy and safety highlights the importance of the development of new technologies to control hemorrhage in military and civilian trauma care.

NOVEL TECHNOLOGIES
There are many hemostatic technologies at various stages of development; for this review, we have chosen to discuss 4 products that vary in their materials and methods of application. Each of these technologies represents a novel approach to hemorrhage control and has recently received or is pursuing U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval.

iTClamp
The iTClamp, developed by the Canadian-based emergency medicine technology company iTraumaCare, provides a mechanical means of hemorrhage control. The device consists of two 7 cm bars connected by a hinge, which, when placed on a bleeding wound, act as a clamp by exerting pressure to approximate the skin and close the wound.6 Each bar contains a row of 4 mm-long 21-gauge needles so that the device can create an air- and fluid-tight seal and remain in place once applied.7 Despite the needles, human volunteers rated the pain upon application as a 2 or 3 on a 10-point scale and reported feeling only pressure after the device was completely secured.7 The design of the iTClamp allows pressure equalization between the wound pocket and the bleeding source, and the resulting hemotoma applies further pressure onto the bleeding vessels to stop the flow.8 While animal studies demonstrated no further injury by the device when left in place for several hours,7 the iTClamp is meant to
be removed so that surgical repair can be performed on the wound.7 It was approved for use by Health Canada in 2012,4 as well as by the FDA for use on extremities, the axilla, and the inguinal region in May 2013,5 the scalp in October 2013,6 and the neck in October 2014.11

**XStat**

The XStat device, from the Oregon-based trauma technology company RevMedx, was created to control bleeding from junctional wounds, which are the body regions where an extremity meets with the trunk. Limb tourniquets do not adequately control hemorrhage in these regions.4 XStat is comprised of a syringe applicator filled with compact sponges that, when injected into the wound, absorb the blood and expand to fill the wound cavity within 20 seconds.12 The sponges are composed of cellulose, with a radiopaque marking on one side to facilitate removal, and an outer coating of chitosan, a polysaccharide that promotes platelet aggregation and adhesion.4 Therefore, the sponges not only expand extensively to provide wound compression, removing the need for external manual pressure, but also accelerate coagulation. However, the sponges need to be removed within 4 hours to prevent limb ischemia.12 One animal study compared XStat to Combat Gauze application during severe bleeding, and described faster application time and less animal study compared XStat to Combat Gauze application during severe bleeding, and described faster application time and less

**Critique and Future Directions**

Each of the 4 technologies shows promise in its applicability to trauma medicine, as each has undergone studies to demonstrate its effectiveness in hemostasis. In particular, these products are targeted for prehospital use by first responders such as paramedics, rather than in-hospital medical or surgical personnel who instead have well-established, effective techniques for definitive hemorrhage treatment. However, shelf life and refrigeration have not been reported. Therefore, developers must ensure that the products are able to withstand austere prehospital conditions.

TraumaGel may offer an advantage because of its simplicity of use; it only requires squeezing gel from a syringe, while iTClamp, XStat, and particularly ResQFoam would likely require significant additional training. However, the ability of TraumaGel, as a topical agent with no compressive capability, to control significant hemorrhage remains to be seen. Contrarily, while ResQFoam is able to provide substantial compression, it elicits certain concerns regarding efficacy and safety due to its invasive application and removal. Firstly, considerable education would need to be provided to first responders for safe application into the abdominal cavity. Also, its removal requires a full laparotomy, when nonoperative management of abdominal trauma is frequently possible,20 and surgery itself poses potential risks. Furthermore, in addition to the complication of bowel lesions caused by the expanding foam, other adverse effects such as respiratory compromise or decreased venous return could result from the compression. On the other hand, XStat was designed to provide compression to junctional wounds, which remains a difficult clinical problem for both first responders and trauma specialists. Therefore, while all 4 technologies demonstrate potential for future implementation into trauma care, only experience with these technologies will establish their efficacy in trauma. Often this experience is first established empirically through military usage with subsequent approval for civilian first-responder care.21

**Conclusion**

Hemorrhage control is an integral part of trauma medicine, and current research is focused on technologies that rapidly stop bleeding in order to improve survival rates. The iTClamp, XStat, ResQFoam, and TraumaGel are all novel technologies that show potential for improving prehospital hemorrhage care, as early research has demonstrated fast application, effective cessation of bleeding, and low complication rates. Because these technologies are still under development, ultimate adoption will depend on experience...
that provides data on important real-world factors such as: ease of use, effectiveness of hemostasis, impact on mortality, and complications. Nevertheless, the continual development of improved hemostasis technologies that decrease hemorrhage mortality appears promising, with the iTClamp, XStat, ResQFoam, and TraumaGel potentially having a role in the prehospital management of traumatic hemorrhage.
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